UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

Nelsenia Spencer,		: Civil Action No.:
v.	Plaintiff,	: :
Citibank NA, Defendant.	: : COMPLAINT : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	
	Detendant.	· : :

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Nelsenia Spencer, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Nelsenia Spencer ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Powder Springs, Georgia, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

4. Defendant Citibank NA ("Citibank"), is a New York business entity with an address of 399 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10043, and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

FACTS

- 5. On or about April 16, 2016, Citibank began placing calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 770-xxx-8037, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS").
- 6. When Plaintiff answered calls from Citibank, she heard silence and had to wait on the line before she was connected to the next available representative.
- 7. On or about April 16, 2016, Plaintiff requested that all calls to her cease.
- 8. Nevertheless, Citibank continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 10. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer.

- Communications Commission ("FCC") defines a predictive dialer as "a dialing system that automatically dials consumers' telephone numbers in a manner that "predicts" the time when a consumer will answer the phone and a [representative] will be available to take the call…"2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC 36 Rcd 14022. The FCC explains that if a representative is not "free to take a call that has been placed by a predictive dialer, the consumer answers the phone only to hear 'dead air' or a dial tone, causing frustration." *Id.* In addition, the TCPA places prohibitions on companies that "abandon" calls by setting "the predictive dialers to ring for a very short period of time before disconnecting the call; in such cases, the predictive dialer does not record the call as having been abandoned." *Id.*
- 12. Defendant's telephone system(s) have some earmarks of a predictive dialer.
- 13. When Plaintiff answered calls from Defendant, she heard silence before Defendant's telephone system would connect her to the next available representative.
- 14. Defendant's predictive dialers have the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator.

- 15. Defendant continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 16. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 17. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant's continued calls.
- 18. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).
- 19. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 20. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

 Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);

- 2. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
- 3. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 17, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney Bar No.: 598666
Attorney for Plaintiff Nelsenia Spencer LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.
43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor
Wilton, CT 06897

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 ext. 5500

Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Email: slemberg@lemberglaw.com